

<u>Document Name</u>	<u>Document Paragraph #</u>	<u>Question/Comment</u>	<u>Response</u>
JLTV EMD Industry Day, Briefing Package Dated 26 Apr 2010	Slide 25 and Slide 123	There appears to be an inconsistency in the Industry Day package w.r.t. what constitutes the Payload Category B base vehicles. On slide 25 it lists a BCOTM (BDE & Above), but on slide 123 it lists the IC Fire Team, 6 seat. Which is correct?	There have been changes to the vehicle payload categories, which will be outlined in the upcoming draft PD version due to be released by the end of November.
JLTV EMD Industry Day, Briefing Package Dated 26 Apr 2010	Slide 25 and Slide 123	There appears to be an inconsistency in the Industry Day package w.r.t. the Payload Category A high priority vehicles. On slide 25 it lists a Recon (4 Seat)(Armored Scout LRAS) but on slide 123 it is listed as a CDR design Only. Which is correct?	There have been changes to the vehicle payload categories, which will be outlined in the upcoming draft PD version due to be released by the end of November.
JLTV EMD Industry Day, Briefing Package Dated 26 Apr 2010	Slide 25 and Slide 123	There appears to be an inconsistency in the Industry Day package w.r.t. the payload for the Category B vehicles. On slide 25 it states Payload at 4,500 pounds, but on slide 123 it states 4000/4500. Which is correct?	There have been changes to the vehicle payload categories, which will be outlined in the upcoming draft PD version due to be released by the end of November.
JLTV EMD Industry Day, Briefing Package Dated 26 Apr 2010	Slide 33	Can the demonstrator vehicle provided for testing have surrogate armor (same size, weight, CoG)?	As of 27 September 2010, PM JLTV announced that a Demonstrator Vehicle will no longer be required.
JLTV EMD Industry Day, Briefing Package Dated 26 Apr 2010	Slide 33	Is it the intent of the government to purchase the ballistic hulls and armor coupons which are to be submitted with the EMD proposal? Will the Govt expect the Contractors to submit a priced proposal prior to the EMD proposal for the costs associated with the Ballistic Hulls and Armor Coupons?	Answer: TBD UPDATED ANSWER (14 March 2011): No, it is not the intent of the government to purchase the representative ballistic hull and armor coupons that are submitted with the EMD proposal. The Government will require a representative ballistic hull and armor coupons to be subjected for ballistic tests as part of the EMD proposal evaluation. The RFP will state that the Government will not pay for the submission of the hull and coupons to any successful or non-successful offerors.
JLTV EMD Industry Day, Briefing Package Dated 26 Apr 2010	Slide 87	Will partial credit be given for performance above a threshold requirement but less than objective? Is this also true for Force Protection?	Credit in terms of source selection criteria has not yet been determined.
JLTV EMD Industry Day, Briefing Package Dated 26 Apr 2010	Slide 87	Please clarify the intent of a requirement that is in blue text (indicating that it has changed) but coded with a red probability of change. I interpret this to mean that it has changed and will likely change again. Is this correct?	Yes, that is correct. However, this indicates a probability but not necessarily a certainty for change.

<u>Document Name</u>	<u>Document Paragraph #</u>	<u>Question/Comment</u>	<u>Response</u>
JLTV EMD Web Site, EMD Industry Day Briefing	Website Notification of a Demonstrator Vehicle NOT being required for submission, Page 37 of the Industry Day Briefing	Please indicate if a ballistic hull or armor coupons would still be required for submission with the EMD proposal.	PRE-DEICISIONAL: The Government is still intending to require ballistic hull and armor coupons in accordance with the Industry Day Charts. The final RFP requirements will rule.